

CANDOUR

The British Views-Letter

Founded by A. K. Chesterton in 1953 to defend National Sovereignty against the menace of International Finance
Vol. XXXVII No. 10 Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper October 1986

WILL ARCHBISHOP TUTU BE NEXT?

by JOHN COTTER

The Communists love martyrs, even if they have to shoot someone themselves to make one, as we will now see from a few examples over the last 40 years.

First, the ouster of the anti-Communist and pro-Western Marcos of the Philippines on February 26, 1986. When the exiled and discredited politician Benigno ('Ninoy') Aquino stepped off the plane in the Philippines on August 21st, 1983, he was immediately shot dead by a Communist gunman named Rolando Galman, a member of the Communist New Peoples Army (NPA). Galman was then shot dead by soldiers. Very, very convenient for the Communists because Galman could no longer "talk".

But what happened? The world and especially the American media put all the blame onto Marcos and ignored his Commission of Enquiry into the murder.

As that great Canadian expert on foreign affairs, Eric Margolis had asked in his Toronto *Sun* column (February 6th, 1986) a few weeks before Marcos fell:

"Why are all those TV cameras and news teams eagerly ferreting out Marcos' sins 5,000 miles away in Southeast Asia when far bigger wickedness goes on just south of the Rio Grande?"

"The obvious answer is that Marcos has the image of being a right-winger and pro-American, qualities that are anathema to the North American liberal press."

But the question remains – why would Marcos have had a totally insignificant politician shot? Aquino had been largely forgotten through exile and could not have garnered more than 1% or 2% of the votes? There was no possible advantage to Marcos, but quite tremendous advantages to the Communists. We all know what followed.

His widow Corazon got an enormous sympathy vote and aided by the sinister Cardinal Sin, a follower of the Vatican-condemned "Liberation Theology", proceeded to oust Marcos on February 26th, 1986. This may yet prove as serious a blow to what remains of our Free World as did the Japanese conquest of the Philippines in World War II. Remember when the Philippines fell in 1942, Australia was the next target.

The above technique of the Communists had been repeated several times before, for example in the assassination of President John F. Kennedy on November 22nd, 1963. We recall that the assassin was Lee Harvey Oswald, a Soviet trained Communist official of the Fair Play For (Castro's) Cuba Committee. Oswald got away safely to the darkened interior of a movie house where he panicked and shot Officer Tibbett. Oswald was, in turn, shot the next day by Jack Ruby who had made visits to Castro's Cuba on some undisclosed "business".

Thus was Oswald silenced. Obviously this was an assassination that went wrong. But what happened as soon as Kennedy had been shot? Immediately the media put the blame on the American anti-Communist movement in general and the Texas White Citizens Councils in particular who had objected strongly to Kennedy's leftist policies. (Yes, John F. Kennedy was very left-wing!) Doubtless, Oswald would have been questioned but with the media determined to find a "right wing" scapegoat we doubt whether he would even have been arraigned. Oswald would have been dubbed a "victim of Police brutality"!

The Communists and their semi-secret allies in groups such as the Council On Foreign Relations might have captured the heart of the Free World in a bold, daring coup d'etat! They failed, of course but what had they got to lose? Their failure didn't result in any *real* condemnation from the American media. So they were not risking too much.

Tried Technique

The same technique was used in the assassination of Archbishop Oscar Romero in El Salvador in March 1980. Archbishop Romero was very far to the left and constantly imploring President Carter to stop sending arms to the Government while ignoring the vast supplies of arms from the Soviet bloc to El Salvador's Communist guerrillas. Who got the blame for this assassination? The anti-Communist leader Major Roberto D'Aubisson and the so-called "death squads". But in spite of immense and well-financed investigations, no evidence could be found of D'Aubisson's involvement or that of any anti-Communist. Now comes a report from Puerto Rico that a captured guerrilla has revealed that the hitmen came from a Basque terrorist organisation, Marxist of course, named ETA – see the American Catholic weekly paper *The Wanderer* of St. Paul, Minnesota, in its issue of March 22nd, 1984.

The Pope himself was shot and gravely wounded on May 13th, 1981 by a member of the Turkish Fascist party, the Grey Wolves, Mehmet Ali Agca. Oh those "Fascists" again! But now we know that behind this clumsily chosen "Fascist" hitman stood the KGB and its Bulgarian connection.

Then there was the case of the abortive Communist revolution in Bogota, Columbia, in 1948 in which Castro took part and made his infamous remark, "I did a good day's work – I killed a priest." Only a man much under the influence of Satan could make such a remark.

Let William D. Pawley, former American Ambassador to Brazil, explain. In his testimony to the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee on September 2nd, 1960, Ambassador Pawley said:

"But they organized one of the most astute pieces of skul-duggery you can imagine. The Communists killed Gaitan at one o'clock in the afternoon.

Gaitan was a popular, liberal opponent of the current Colombian Government; apparently the plan was to kill Gaitan, blame the government, and incite the people to riots and revolt.

He was the most popular liberal and was deeply loved all over the country. They told the young man who did the killing that they would be sitting in an automobile waiting to pick him up, armed with machine guns in case somebody attacked him. But the minute he did the killing, they machine-gunned him and left him there and they got away. . . .

"We believe it to be the Fidel Castro group".

Next Target

What is the next Communist target? Obviously South Africa. We know this because there has been a constant stream of false and malignant propaganda against that gallant little Christian country. South Africa is the last major barrier to Communism in what is fast becoming a Communist African continent. Moreover South Africa's raw materials and minerals are absolutely vital to the Free World because they are unobtainable anywhere else — except the Soviet Union! Modern oil tankers are too big to go through the Suez Canal. They go around the Cape. What if the Cape route falls to the Soviet Union?

Obviously Archbishop Tutu is the only possible target. He will be the next to be assassinated.

No doubt there will be another clumsily chosen "White Supremacist" or "Fascist" hitman, as in the case of the Pope. (The term "white supremacist" is meaningless in the South African context because since every tribe and race would live in their own separate homeland. No one would be able to exploit anyone else.)

The writer does not admire Tutu in the least. Tutu acts like a Communist at all times. He has been boosted to a world figure by the media although with little support from blacks in South Africa. Tutu in (former Governor of New York) Al Smith's memorable phrase is merely "wearing the livery of the Church to do the work of the Devil," and the Devil will double-cross anyone especially his friends.

The media will see to it that no one believes President Botha. The media will see to it that no one believes in any Communist or KGB influence that may subsequently be uncovered.

Cui Bono? (Who will gain?) The Communists will gain.

Cui Detrimento? (Who will lose?) The entire Free World will lose a would-be and valuable ally. America will then be the final target. Certainly well worth the sacrifice of that good friend of Communism Archbishop Desmond Tutu.

SOUTH AFRICA'S FREE-MARKET SCENARIO?

by LESLIE GREENE

A new book from the Institute of Economic Affairs* is essential reading for those who are really concerned with South Africa's future, particularly those in South Africa who have any power to influence political judgements. It is unlikely to please them, but there is a total honesty of argument which is quite rare in these days of double-speak, particularly where South Africa is concerned. Those who do not agree with the arguments should concentrate their minds on producing an alternative scenario at least as well-founded in history and economic realism.

The four names credited on the title-page as participating in the symposium make quite different contributions from backgrounds covering economics, journalism and history, but the main contribution comes from Graham Hutt whose academic background (which also includes some real life as he was born in 1899) covers over 30 years in different posts at Capetown University, followed by more than 20 years in a variety of universities in the USA. Russell Lewis summarises a paper written by Hutt in 1964, while Hutt himself offers a concise proposal for the dismantling of apartheid in a way which would combine meeting the legitimate aspirations of Africans, justice for those who prefer to live their own lives without politics, and the prospect of maintaining white civilisation without pandering to the folly of one man, one vote for once only.

Between these two contributions, Fleur de Villiers, a journalist for some years with the Johannesburg **Sunday Times**, analyses apartheid in practice and points out the relationship between apartheid and the welfare state, together with the fallacies of the simplistic Marxist analysis. Finally, Professor Norman Gash, for 25 years Professor of History at St. Andrew's University, supports

Professor Hutt's proposals with an historical analysis of the history of the extension of the franchise in the UK, pointing out that, despite our long history of representative government, 'Mother of Parliaments', etc., it took from 1832 to 1970 to extend our franchise to the present position. It is perhaps worth pointing out here that the riots and burning of Bristol which preceded the passing of the 1832 Reform Act were conducted by people who would not be getting the vote under that Act, and yet mysteriously ceased to riot when it was passed.

Not the least valuable part of the booklet is the final appendix, listing the measures already taken towards dismantling apartheid since 1970. This effectively demonstrates the hypocrisy of the currently orchestrated international campaign for sanctions on South Africa, which is clearly not aimed at the ending of apartheid itself, but the establishment of instant black majority rule, where the first elections would probably be the last and constitutional safeguards would be meaningless. It also serves as an education for those who are accustomed to defend South Africa on the basis of attacking her enemies. We must know what has been going on there recently, and why such changes have been made, and will continue to be made.

Origins of Apartheid?

Professor Hutt won my attention from the start by making the point, which was one of A. K. Chesterton's frequent illustrations, that apartheid is basically a product of measures introduced to appease White trade unionism, rather than to benefit 'colonialism' or 'capitalist' cleap labour. The influence of capitalism and the development of market forces has worked in precisely the opposite

direction, even under great legal restraints, and would have done so in any case, without attributing any sinister motives to internationalist forces or financial conspiracies. This does not, of course, mean that there have not been any conspiracies, but it does mean that those who think that South Africa could have continued on its same course, while maintaining the prosperity of the 1970s, have not in fact taken into account the increasing costs of maintaining the bureaucracy of the old system, which would itself have strangled the prosperity had there not been some relaxation.

While it is extremely unlikely that **Candour** readers will share Professor Hutt's enthusiasm for the free market, they must **understand** his case. It is not enough to say that sanctions will strengthen the South African economy and the vast majority of Africans are not interested in voting any way. It is not enough to point to the huge pressure of illegal immigrants trying to get in to prosperous South Africa from their supposedly 'free' countries, or the patent fact that sanctions will wreck the neighbouring economies. There are, of course, plenty of powerful people all over the world who simply do not mind wrecking the whole of Southern Africa, or the massacring of millions in internecine warfare, if they can obtain their private objectives. But what supporters of civilisation in Africa must face up to is that if sanctions do in fact **strengthen** the South African economy, it will produce millions more wealthy and skilled Africans. It is not generally considered enough that South Africa has a very small number of police for her population. That number is actually proving insufficient in the current unrest. In other 'police' states the police have the compensation of good pay and high status. In South Africa at the moment it is barely possible for their families to be protected against bombs and 'necklaces'. This situation cannot continue unchanged. The developing African middle class will be contributing far more towards the general tax base, and will be entitled to an increasing share of influence as to how the taxes they will be paying in increasing quantities are spent. At the moment, it is true, their contribution is only a tiny fraction of the white one, but they also get far less protection, and will be entitled to a great deal more, as their contribution grows. The economic cost of protecting

the frontier will increase enormously if sanctions do ruin the surrounding states and strengthen South Africa. Professor Hutt suggests a framework for a solution which would meet the just claims of those Africans who do contribute to the economy, without allowing the majority who are not interested in politics to be wielded as a destructive bludgeon against their own knowledge or interests.

We know, of course, that nothing of this sort will satisfy the ANC, or European or American 'public opinion'. We also know that the history of constitutional safeguards and entrenched seats in Africa is a quite unsatisfactory one. Professor Hutt's suggested solutions will do little to appease world opinion. None of that means that they will not work in South Africa, provided the whites take the appropriate action and, above all, understand why this action has to be taken or, alternatively, why they should do something quite different, and possibly intolerably expensive, if Professor Hutt's solutions do not appeal.

***Apartheid — Capitalism or Socialism** by Graham Hutt *et al.* Hobart Paper no. 22. Institute of Economic Affairs (2 Lord North Street, London SW1P 3LB), £3.

APARTHEID — CAPITALISM or SOCIALISM

by Graham HUTT *et al.*

Hobart Paper no. 22.

INSTITUTE OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS
2 Lord North Street, London SW1P 3LB.

Price £3.

UNCERTAIN GLORIES OF THE REVOLUTION

With all due respect to our Orange readers in Northern Ireland the forthcoming tercentenary of the so-called Glorious Revolution should be no occasion for rejoicing. For in 1688 the legitimate Sovereign of this country, 'King James The Last', was deposed by a powerful Whig establishment — forbears of our entrepreneurs, Stock Exchange jobbers, captains of industry and Fleet Street belletrists — and replaced by a compliant, foreign usurper, ready to endorse their factional interests. And the Tory grandees who went over to 'Dutch William' were no better.

The prime movers of 1688 were a squalid bunch. They violated every maxim of decency and honesty in their relations with the King, not merely in the heat of crisis, not only during the period of wide-spread anxiety, but in some instances from long before he became king. Thankfully, for some years now a few scholars have been successfully demolishing the comfortable picture of 1688 which is so blandly retailed to us. Macauley's account of the Glorious Revolution is no longer held to be a self-evident truth.

We fear, however, that this will not prevent the pundits of the Whig establishment celebrating 1988 and assuring us that **they** are on the side of the angels. And what next? Three cheers in 1994 for the tercentenary of the founding of the Bank of England, whereby there arose (to quote Cobbett) "loans, funds, banks, bankers, banknotes, and a national debt"? Things, he said, **without** which England had "a long and glorious career of many centuries . . . and had been the greatest and happiest country in the world".

RACIST ROUNABOUT

by ERIC MARGOLIS

OFFICIAL RACISM will begin in Canada on Sept. 1 when a new federal policy goes into effect forcing companies doing business with Ottawa to hire pre-defined numbers of women and "visible minorities."

Since the government now consumes 55% of every dollar spent in Canada, many firms will be affected by the latest example of federal social engineering. Leave aside the issues of women and equal pay. Let's focus on the interesting question of what Ottawa terms "visible minorities."

Such people must now be hired according to a formula devised by federal employment officials. Surprise inspections will monitor compliance. Firms failing to meet Ottawa's targets will be given up to Canada's version of the Inquisition, the Human Rights Commission.

To some, all this may sound like a good idea. If so, think for a moment about the law's not-so-obvious implications.

Acme Industries is told that it must hire 12 "visible minority" workers. Who are they? Inuit and racial minorities, says Ottawa, plus other "disadvantaged" groups.

Misleading appearances

So Acme goes out and hires six Inuit, one Chinese, two "Pakistanis" and three "blacks," meeting Ottawa's order for 12 minority workers.

Inspectors come back, check the books and interview minority employees. One of the "blacks," a honey-skinned lady from racially mixed Jamaica, says, no, she is not black but white. One of the "Pakistanis" says he is actually an Indian Brahmin, and thus as white as any European.

Suddenly, Acme is violating federal law.

Who then will determine people's race? Why federal bureaucrats, of course. No matter that societies in the West Indies, Latin American, Africa and Asia each have a myriad of racial nuances, Ottawa recognizes only black or white, minority or majority.

A new race bureaucracy will, of course, have to be created, probably called the ministry of human relations, staffed with experts from the post office. It will make those terribly difficult decisions about how to classify a half-Nigerian, half-British man.

Not all are 'visible'

Is he a white or a black — and thus in line for preferential hiring? Will a white man who claims he is black be able to get a job ahead of other people? Is a Chinese from a visible minority? Is an Arab, a Cambodian or a Greek?

Are Americans a visible minority in Canada? Are lesbians and gays a minority that must receive preference? What about the very fat? Right now there is a suit going on in the U.S. charging a firm with discrimination because it refused to hire a 250-lb woman. What about the great, silent minority: The ugly?

As unemployment in this country grows, such questions will become vitally important to many people. C.X. Ogilvy, born in Barbados, claims he is black, but looks white. Ottawa's race bureaucrats will have to check his family background for a few generations back. Counting the number of teeth is also a good indication of race, and so, of course, are tests of the hair, skin and blood.

"Dear Mr. Ogilvy: We regret to inform you that our tests indicate you to have more white genes than black, and thus you do not qualify for the minority hiring program."

Sophisticated tests

For those problem people whose race is difficult to determine, there are also more traditional methods. German scientists back in the 1930s and '40s developed many sophisticated tests involving the skull, leg bones, the iris and penis size to determine race. Ottawa race bureaucrats will likely find such seminal works invaluable.

Once racial and sex hiring quotas are extended to all business, as they likely will be, it might be easier for Ottawa to issue identity cards showing a person's racial group. That way, disputes could be easily avoided. After all, it's a small price to pay for stamping out discrimination in the private sector. No South Africa here, by jingo, only pass laws.

"Dear Acme Industries: Our computer shows that Ms. L. Grove, worker number 1-157386, race ID number N-419724-R2, is no longer classified as an Inuit. She is now reclassified as a white, code 34-G5-237. You must dismiss her and hire an overweight (minimum 100 kilo) female Inuit, code group 1-897rz2, or face investigation by the Human Rights Commission. Overweight female Inuit must receive the same pay as section G9-12 handicapped transsexuals."

THE GLOBAL MANIPULATORS

by ROBERT ERINGER

Another new title available from:
Steven Books
P.O. Box 112
London N22 6AW

THE JOURNAL OF TRUTH

Pass on the good word; when you have finished reading your copy of **Candour** — pass it on to one of your kind — who also is seeking the truth.

The more subscribers we have the greater our voice in worldly affairs; hence you would be doing us all a service.

THE FINAL ACT

The UK's enabling European Communities (Amendment) Bill 1986. It is expected to be in force by January next and will have the effect of reducing the British Parliament to the status of regional government. It will also mean that any future dissent against the Common Market can be overcome by Continental forces sent to these outer isles. In fact, if any future British Government wished to take us out of the EEC, the united states in Europe are empowered to protect their interests by keeping us in by military means if we will not respect community law.

The Single European Act and The Final Act is the culmination of long-term scheming dating from the middle years of the last war. However, the architect did not live to see his great day. Altiero Spinelli died in Rome on May 23rd at the age of 78. Fervently anti-fascist, and a communist, he was a political prisoner from 1927 to 1943 but he did not waste his time - with Ernesto Rossi he drew up the "Ventotene manifesto" (named after his island gaol) being the charter for European federalism. Seeing out the war in the Resistance, he steadily worked toward the Draft Treaty establishing European Union, adopted in February 1984 by 231 votes to 31 with 43 abstentions in the European Parliament. And this led to the Single European Act, and The Final Act, quietly slipped into our existence under cover of the World Cup, the Commonwealth Games, Fergie's wedding, South Africa and sundry diversions from President Reagan.

No Redress

This international treaty is to govern political co-operation and the institutions responsible for that. The umbrella description covers many and extensive amendments, the implications of which are profound and disguised. In particular, the Council of Ministers is to operate by "a qualified majority" thus abolishing the veto on virtually all matters of social policy in the member states. This puts Britain in a minority without redress on various issues. The European Parliament gets upgraded from talking-shop to power-broker - it says so to be allied to that of the Commission, the current most powerful instrument of government. Already charged with initiating policies, it is now to have a heightened sense of direction. Under the Single European Act, the greater part of our social policy will be within the remit of the Commission and so subject to the majority votes of other states. Thus, to take a current problem - if the rest of Europe wanted trade sanctions on Britain's exports to South Africa, we could be compelled to comply, compelled to add tens of thousands to our dole queues, cause lasting damage to our businesses, and import the alternative goods from our partners in Europe that would normally have been the barter with South Africa.

All this is to be made possible by the European Communities (Amendment) Bill. Introduced by the Government in March, it received its second reading in the Commons in April and went into Committee on June 16th. It has been considered by the cross-party Commons Select Committee on Foreign Affairs who were agreed that it weakened the powers of the Westminster Parliament. This confirmed the view taken in May by the House of Lords Select Committee on the European

Communities. The findings of both Committees are available to all our legislators. There is also the special report on the Single European Act by the Select Committee on European Legislation, printed February 26th, 1986.

Alien Dictates

The aim of the present stealthy machinations is to create a single European super-state in which the UK and all other member-states, past to present and future will be reduced to administrative regions. Governed from a single centre in Europe, the Parliament of Britain will exercise the limited powers of a unit of local government. Commenting on this in a letter to a constituent, Alex Fletcher MP acknowledges there will be changes but not in our "traditions". He was careful not to say "laws". We will be able to keep flapping order papers over our heads as we assent to alien dictates.

In many a letter to constituents, such as Malcolm Rifkind have disclaimed political union. Our present Government's signature is on the Act, nonetheless.

If the Bill now going through our Parliament becomes law, as threatened, we shall be brought under a system of government acceptable to other European countries but against our nature, our historical development and our instinct for free and locally responsible government.

With acknowledgement to "The Patriot", 76 Constitution Street, Edinburgh EH6 6RP.

BRITAIN'S ACHIEVEMENT

"The Empire has shown in its long and stormy colonial history that it is not only the biggest but the best administered Colonial Reich. The British are better colonial administrators than Germans and other peoples. If I destroy the Empire 500 million yellow, brown and black natives become leaderless. Murder and death will stalk and the whole world will go to pieces. So I must proceed very carefully." ADOLPH HITLER in a confidential January 1941 meeting attended by GOERING and General KURT STUDENT, explaining his unwillingness to invade and conquer Britain as quoted in an article by General Kurt Student in the London SUNDAY EXPRESS, May 13th, 1951.

Subscription Rates

	Per Annum
U.K. - - - - -	£5.00
Overseas - - - - -	£6.00 Airmail
U.S. Dollar Rate - - - - -	\$10.00 "

FOREST HOUSE, LISS FOREST, HANTS GU33 7DD

RESISTING 'E.E.C.-Y' TEMPTATION

Oliver Smedley has, like **Candour**, opposed the Common Market from its beginning at considerable cost to himself. The angle of his approach, as reflected in his new book,* is not identical with ours, as he is passionate supporter of Free Trade. However, his burning patriotism, his pride in the British Constitution and his revulsion at the idea of loss of sovereignty are all welcome features, accompanied as they are with total sincerity, which makes healthier impression than the frequent cant about such ideas when voiced by left-wing demagogues who have never shown any previous concern with such threats.

Mr. Smedley's Catholic friends may be rather disconcerted to find that he considers their spiritual connections with Europe somewhat diminish their purity as lovers of freedom, but his is not mealy-mouthed about this, and it is certainly the case that many Catholic politicians, who would otherwise have had more sense and caution, hastened to leap on the European bandwagon because of their wider sympathies. If the constitutional framework is wrong, if it is presented by downright lies, false prophecies and idiotic arguments, no possible good could come from such a hellish brew. Mr. Smedley is very good indeed at showing how much and how terrible has been the harm so far, and how much worse it is likely to be in the future.

This book is a gold-mine of well-presented facts and figures, not readily accessible to those who are not professional journalists and publicists. Of course, we know it all, but we do not have it handy (at least, this reviewer doesn't!) for when we want to tackle a politician or write a letter to the newspapers. As a final **bonne bouche**, he lists the names of all the Members of

Parliament who voted for the European Communities Bill in 1972, and who are still active in public office, as a warning that we should **never** trust their political judgement again. Also, he gives a brief critique of the Draft Treaty establishing the European Union. We strongly recommend that you buy Mr. Smedley's informative book **and use it**.

L.M.C.G.

***Out! U.K. In E.E.C. Spells Disaster** by Oliver Smedley. Classic Press (125 Gloucester Road, London SW7 4TE), £5.

MORALITY AND A.I.D.S.

"A.I.D.S. NEED YOU WORRY?" screams the headline on the D.H.S.S. half-page advertisement in all the newspapers. We saw ours in the **Sunday Express**. "Most people who have the A.I.D.S. virus caught it by having sex with an infected person," we are informed. "The more partners, especially male partners, someone has, the more likely they are to have sex with an infected person . . . Anal sex involves the highest risk. . . ." Almost all the rest of the A.I.D.S. victims, it is stated, "have caught it by injecting themselves with drugs, using equipment shared with an infected person." There is no cure at all.

So — need we worry? No, we have no reason to worry at all (unless the virologists have got it wrong and the disease can be transmitted through saliva). Indeed, A.I.D.S. seems to be a pretty good thing, except for the helpless haemophiliac who risks contamination by homosexual blood and for the fact that mugs like us are being taxed to finance research into aids for A.I.D.S. victims.

It was very interesting, incidentally, to hear the Minister for Health spelling it out that the only way to prevent this insidiously spreading and fatal disease is to have, and keep faithfully to, one partner and not to be promiscuous. Prevention is better than cure: even more so is it better than no cure. In any case, the Church has taught sexual fidelity for centuries, it being the Law of God and of Nature.

DESPISE NOT THESE LITTLE ONES

Christians are letting society down by not speaking out strongly enough against the evils around them. That is the message of Bishop Harris of Middlesbrough (**The Universe**, 29th. August). And the biggest evil, he says, is **unemployment**! "It is undermining our society much more than sexual immorality."

Two pages on in the same issue of **The Universe** we read a truly horrific account on the slave trade in children for pornography. It is estimated that up to one million children are 'treated' in this diabolical corruption each year. A spokesman for the U.S. Customs said that material seized is increasingly brutal, with scenes of children being hit, tied up, whipped, raped and tortured with needles. Many die of illness, suicide or are even murdered.

And his Lordship suggests that unemployment is more immoral than sexual corruption! That passes comment, except that we seem to remember Our Lord talking about millstones, in connection with innocent children (Matt. 18:6).

PRISONERS FOR TRUTH

Last July John Tyndall and John Morse faced criminal charges under the Race Relations Act, the most iniquitous legislation since Star Chamber days. Their dark deed was that they tried to ensure, through the columns of **Spearhead** and **British Nationalist**, that the Britain we inherited from our fathers would be handed down intact to those who come after us. The prosecution team at Southwark consisted of three Jews and a Black, and the "majestic processes of the law" imposed a harsh year's imprisonment on the two. We urge every **Candour** reader, as in the case of Joseph Pearce, to drop a line of encouragement and good cheer to these brave patriots. Their details are: L26447 J. Tyndall/L26448 J. Morse, H. M. Prison Wormwood Scrubs, Du Cane Road, London W12.

JUNKETS FOR THE JUNKIES

It seems that the 'in-thing' now if you are a Third World country is to have nice big narcotic-drug producing areas with attendant drug-exporting rings. This may indeed be your biggest export earner, but as a bonus ever such a nice man arrives from the British Government with a fat bank-roll to pay you to stop. Why didn't the Malaysians think of that, instead of just hanging anyone found in possession of drugs in Malaya, as happened recently with two Britons. We noticed, too, that they didn't let appeals drag on for months in the courts there, until hanging them became virtually impossible. No, the Malaysian Prime Minister signed the warrant, after one appeal attempt which was dealt with in a week, and then 'the drop' came quickly. And, note, now it is already forgotten. Had the case dragged on and on, the Malaysian Government wouldn't have been praised for being so liberal and democratically legal, but would have been subjected to a steady series of expressions of liberal outrage for just as long as the two drug smuggling darlings were not released.

Certainly we feel the use of a few yards of hampen rope in England would be much more effective against drugs here than paying Pakistan not to grow hemp, and a British gallows could do more to keep cocaine at bay than buying a new aeroplane to present to Bolivia, which is now being done, among other things. If you pay the Danegeld, you will never get rid of the Dane. Perhaps more appropriate, there were the bounties paid from time to time in Australia when the rabbit was considered a pest there. Ninepence or a shilling a tail was the rate, and some people made a living by it, but you left families of young rabbits and their mothers alone. Indeed, nowhere were rabbits exterminated by a bounty scheme. The same will be true of the drug trade.



OBITUARY

ANOTHER STALWART GONE

Just as we were going to press we learned with great sorrow that Hamish Fraser, the intrepid Editor of **APPROACHES** for so many years, has died very suddenly. Please pray for the repose of his soul.

We will have an appreciation of his work in opposing wrong with unequivocal Right in our next issue.

CANDOUR

BOOKS FOR CHRISTMAS

U.K. Postage included in prices

The New Unhappy Lords A. K. Chesterton
Clothbound £3.00

If you have not read this book it is a *must* for all patriots.

A. K. Chesterton

B.B.C. — A National Menace	...	50p
Hold High the Torch	...	20p
Open Letter to Edward Heath	...	20p
Facing The Abyss	...	£1.00
Common Market Suicide	...	50p

Richard Harwood

Did Six Million Really Die?	...	50p
(A well-researched illustrated treatise on this controversial subject)		

Kevin Bleach

Conspirators All	...	20p
------------------	-----	-----

A. N. Field

The Evolution Hoax Exposed (Why Colleges Breed Communism)	...	£2.00
--	-----	-------

A. R. Butz

Hoax of the Twentieth Century	...	£3.00
-------------------------------	-----	-------

Deirdre Manifold

Fatima and The Great Conspiracy	...	£3.00
---------------------------------	-----	-------

Hilaire Belloc

Survivals and New Arrivals	...	£1.50
Essay on the Restoration of Property	...	£2.50

Rev. Paul A. Wickens

Christ Denied	...	£1.00
---------------	-----	-------

William Thomas Walsh

Isabella the Crusader	...	£1.50
-----------------------	-----	-------

Revelo P. Oliver

Christianity and the Survival of the West	...	£2.50
---	-----	-------

Wilmot Robertson

The Disposed Majority	...	£5.00
-----------------------	-----	-------

David Irving

The Destruction of Dresden	...	£1.50
----------------------------	-----	-------

Frank C. Sakran

Palestine Still A Dilemma	...	£3.00
---------------------------	-----	-------

Committee For Truth in History

The Six Million Reconsidered	...	£3.00
------------------------------	-----	-------

B. E. Biggs

Open Sesame (Satirical Poems)	...	£1.00
-------------------------------	-----	-------

Two Copies **Candour** containing

Poor Perfidious Jews parts I & II	...	50p
-----------------------------------	-----	-----

Obtainable from

Candour Publishing Co.
Forest House, Liss Forest,
Hampshire.

LIGHT AND BITTER

by JANUS

Little he'll rue me - I refer to the late Mr. Stuart Young - if I shed tears for him or not, when not only the BBC and the Board of Deputies of British Jews are mourning his passing, but Rachel is weeping for her child in Tel Aviv University, of which he was a governor. A great Englishman, indeed. Isn't it a comfort that we are **not** confronted with the bleak thought that "we shall not look upon his like again". Oh yes we shall! The "Thought for Today" slot soon after his death was devoted to this paragon, the theme of the broadcast being the debt of gratitude we owe him for his part in moulding the BBC we all know and love. The final words were to the effect that he was guided by the Talmud (why bother to be concerned any more about goy susceptibilities in dishing out this morning Thought?), one of whose precepts is that a man's riches will be forgotten but his good name endureth for ever. The final sentence was: "Stuart Young had a good name." Well, it hath endured, on the BBC certainly for a few days after his demise. But is it good enough to linger on **our** tongues for ever?

* * * *

I have just heard on the news of some by-election in South Africa where, it was said, the Right-wing extremist nasties didn't achieve the gain they had been hoping for, though they advanced a bit and Botha's Nationalists (nice people, by **comparison**), though they slipped a bit, did so well that they may well opt for early general election on the strength of this heartening result. So from the South African Whites it seems the dallying-cry is to be "Good old Bothy!" Whether he'll be able to match Smithy is questionable; **he** dallied for eleven years, didn't he?

* * * *

Am I being ultra suspicious in imagining that the case of the two-year-old Indian boy, whose uncle and aunt wanted to bring up as their own but who was in danger of being returned to India by the authorities, is not quite as simple as it first seems? The impression given is that a hard-hearted and hidebound bunch of officials are behaving with an entire lack of sympathy or understanding, causing a little boy to be shuttled back and forth, at some expense to his family, for no good reason. Officialdom has now relented and everybody is happy. At the same time, in order to avoid queues of would-be visitors to this country (from the Indian sub-continent) being held for days at Heathrow, the Home Office is going to require visas, obtainable in India, before entry; this much to the annoyance of the Foreign Office who will have to do the work abroad. Could the whole rigmarole be an ingenious propaganda exercise to persuade the public that all would-be entrants are subjected to requirements that are rigid and unnecessary?

Mrs Edwina Currie certainly upset the North of England by telling them they smoked and drank too much and ate bad food. Whether she is right or wrong about that is debatable. Where she is very wrong and unbalanced, reckons my parish priest in a recent newsletter, is in her support for abortion and the encouragement she gives for the use of the human embryo for experimentation. She and other MPs would do well to visit a hospital with a woman fifteen weeks' pregnant (well within the limit for abortions) who is going to have an ultra sound scan. Through this technology they would clearly see, perhaps much to their astonishment, the child within the woman sucking its thumb and kicking its legs vigorously. So tiny, and yet so alive and human. Doesn't the thought of that innocent and voiceless little person being slaughtered fill Mrs Currie with as much sorrow as a Yorkshireman's proclivity for consuming beer and black pudding?

* * * *

I heard on "The World At One" today the news of the commencement of the trial of the alleged "Ivan the Terrible", the Nazi concentration camp offender, in Israel. In the actual report of how things had gone so far we heard that in the lengthy opening speech "the whole background of the Nazi Extermination is given before the specific charges against the accused."

Making it a bit obvious, weren't they, seeing that we already suspected that the prime object of such exercises is to revivify the Holocaust and keep it to the media fore?

* * * *

ALL HOME SUBSCRIBERS

You have an extra
copy this month.

Take it to your
local Library and enliven
the Reading Room.